IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

RICHARD PAUL HARRIS,

ORDER

Plaintiff,

v.

22-cv-387-wmc

CHRISTOPHER GEISE,

Defendants.

Plaintiff Richard Harris is proceeding in this civil action on claims that Juneau County Sheriff Lt. Christopher Geise tased him repeatedly and unnecessarily in violation of his constitutional rights. At plaintiff's request, the court recruited counsel Emily Jones, Bryan Mette and Naikang Tsao of the law firm of Foley & Lardner in Madison, Wisconsin, to represent him *pro bono* for the remainder of this civil action. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1) ("The court may request an attorney to represent any person unable to afford counsel."); *Pruitt v. Mote*, 503 F.3d 647, 653-54 (7th Cir. 2007) (en banc) (noting that § 1915(e)(1) confers, at most, discretion "to recruit a lawyer to represent an indigent civil litigant *pro bono publico*"). Accordingly, the court will enter his appearance as plaintiff's *pro bono* counsel for the record.

The next step is for the court to hold a status conference to reset the trial date in this case. Plaintiff's counsel should contact the New Lisbon Correctional Institution for purposes of consulting with plaintiff in the preparation of his case whether by phone and/or in person. So that counsel will have sufficient time to consult with plaintiff in advance of the conference, the clerk's office will be directed to set that conference in mid-June before the Magistrate Judge as the court's schedule allows.

Finally, plaintiff should appreciate that his counsel took on this representation out of a sense of professional responsibility, which includes representing zealously those clients they

take on. Now that he is represented by counsel, plaintiff is advised that in return for

representation plaintiff, too, has taken on a responsibility. For example, all future

communications with the court must be through his attorneys of record. Plaintiff must also

work directly and cooperatively with his attorneys, as well as those working at their direction,

and must permit them to exercise their professional judgment to determine which matters are

appropriate to bring to the court's attention and in what form. Plaintiff does not have the

right to require counsel to raise frivolous arguments or to follow every directive he makes. On

the contrary, plaintiff should expect his counsel to tell him what he needs to hear, rather than

what he might prefer to hear, and understand that the rules of professional conduct may

preclude counsel from taking certain actions or permitting plaintiff from doing so.

If plaintiff decides at some point that he does not wish to work with his lawyers, he is

free to alert the court and end their representation, but he should be aware that it is highly

unlikely that the court will recruit a second set of attorneys to represent him.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that the clerk's office enter Emily Jones, Bryan Mette and Naikang

Tsao of the law firm of Foley & Lardner as plaintiff's pro bono counsel of record and to set this

case for a status conference in mid-June as the court's schedule allows.

Entered this 29th day of May, 2024.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

WILLIAM M. CONLEY

District Judge

2